## AD EDUCATION NETWORK MEETING 29<sup>th</sup> September 2023, 10.00am – 12.00pm



## Chair: Deb Austin (BHCC)

In attendance: Mike Stoneman (Portsmouth), Marie Denny (Milton Keynes), Kim James and Martin Goff (Oxfordshire), Michelle Sancho (W Berks), Michelle Stanley (Kent), Elizabeth Funge and Katie Ridgway (E Sussex), Neil Hoskinson (Slough), Natalie Smith (Hants / IoW), Clive Haines (RBWM), Brain Grady (Reading), Clodagh Freeston and Alison Philpott (Southampton), Sarah Clarke (W Sussex), Ming Zhang (Wokingham), Rebecca Smith (Medway), Sheelagh Sullivan (SESLIP SE19), Chris Owen.

Apologies: Liz Mills and Carrie Traill (Surrey), Steve Nyakatawa (W Sussex), Mark Storey and Jo Lyons (BHCC), Christine McInnes (Kent), Celia Buxton (Medway), Gareth Drawmer (Bucks), Chris Kiernan (BFC)

ITEM	Actions
1. Welcome, introductions, draft notes and matters arising	
Slides from June were agreed as accurate.	
- List of DfE Regional Directors and topic leads and names of team in South-east circulated	
- Poll complete of pattern of meetings (4 remote; 2 in London) and future topics	
2. Alternative Provision: commissioning and work with mainstream	
schools	
<ul> <li>Practice sharing and dilemmas shared by:</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Southampton City</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>East Sussex</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Presenters were thanked for their clear overview of local developments and challenges.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Overall challenges include:</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>identifying the level of need and matching to effective support / intervention</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>consistent quality of intervention and reintegration to full-time mainstream educat</li> </ul>	tion
where possible	
<ul> <li>impacts and tracking these (aligned with the DfE's 3 tier model)</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>quality and consistency of full-time provision.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Development of an alternative KS4 curriculum between mainstream secondaries and coll</li> </ul>	eges
has shown promise for retaining more students in mainstream learning in E Sussex.	-
• Some discussion and sharing about directories of unregistered AP: increasing expectation	n that
LAs have quality oversight and overview of numbers of pupils attending unregistered AP	from
all schools and LA services. The latter has been a theme for criticism from Ofsted / CQC in	1
SEND inspections: that there is overall picture, provision is effective and, in particular, pa	rt-
time AP is not used as an informal 'waiting list' for specialist provision.	
• At discussions last week the CME Group raised similar themes in discussions about AP:	
reintegration (including effective pathways to post-16), escalating numbers with medical	
needs, updating commissioning and AP strategy.	
<ul> <li>Development or review of AP strategies is on the radar of directors and is likely to be</li> </ul>	
supported through the SEND Change Programme Partnership (lead in SE is Portsmouth).	
<ul> <li>Some discussion of suspensions and exclusions. E Sussex had analysed their data and four</li> </ul>	
70% of all suspensions were pupils who had three or more incidents of suspension. These	
data and the concerning increase in primary exclusions (including year R / KS1) were refle	
back to headteachers at local inclusion partnerships. Using robust data non-judgementall	
with groups of headteachers was identified as a key strategy for bringing on-board outlier	
headteachers. There is value in reaching-out to MAT leadership where it is an academy an	na
should be 'dropped into' conversation with the DfE regions office.	
<ul> <li>What next: Keep a watching brief on AP and strategic challenges and developments acros the South cost</li> </ul>	55
the South-east.	

ITEM	Actions
Actions:	AP / CF; EF /
Slides to be sent to Chris for circulation to the Network	KR; CO
3. Reflections on KS2 SATS:	
<ul> <li>Widespread profile of entrenched disadvantage gaps for KS2 across the South-east; in particular for reading and writing. Although this is not entirely new, it is one of the main lasting legacies of covid-19 and the gap extended in the pandemic disruptions not helped by persistent absence levels being higher among disadvantaged pupils (hitting an 80% or lower level of attendance correlates closely with halting progress / below expectation).</li> <li>Colleagues from RBWM, Kent (<u>https://www.kelsi.org.uk/eefective-kent-project</u>), Southampton and W Sussex shared examples of programmes that are underway or just commencing.</li> </ul>	
Actions:	
Practice examples to be sent to Chris for circulation.	со
4. SESLIP items:	
<ul> <li>Focus for Ofsted in Nov: yes, to complaints and safeguarding. Specific examples to be shared, including responses in LA with schools following notification (Slough and Southampton). Data on numbers of complaints sent to LAs will be requested (please keep a note of numbers for this first half-term).</li> <li>Second half of the morning in Nov to focus on headteacher mental health and wellbeing, with input from Reading (Brian).</li> <li>Topics for the spring term: in-year fair access and secondary place pressures; school</li> </ul>	All
sustainability (revisit with DfE in January perhaps?), severe and persistent absence.	со
Natalie Smith was thanked for stepping up to co-chair the CME Sub-group with Mike from Portsmouth. Chris to follow-up with information and briefing.	со/кј
Action Chris to circulate a brief Forms request for complaints data at the end of Oct and will compile ready for the meeting.	со
Contact Chris if interested in taking the opportunity for an informal small group meeting in the afternoon on 10 <sup>th</sup> Nov.	
Summary:	
Future meetings: 10 <sup>th</sup> November (Broadway House, Tothill Street London);	
26 <sup>th</sup> January;	
15 <sup>th</sup> March	